



NHS Foundation Trust

VTE prevention and COVID-19

Dr Susie Shapiro

Consultant Haematologist Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Overview

- UK standard thromboprophylaxis for medical inpatients (pre-COVID era)
 - VTE risk and standard LMWH dosing
 - Weight adjusted LMWH dosing
 - Extended thromboprophylaxis
 - Role of mechanical thromboprophylaxis
- The concern with COVID is this enough?
 - Rate of VTE in patients with COVID
- Interim guidelines
 - Risk stratification

LMWH prophylaxis in medical inpatients

Trial	ТР	VTE (%)	Bleeding (%)
MEDENOX ¹	Enoxaparin 40mg	14.9 v 5.5	1.7 v 1.1
	v placebo	RRR 63% P<0.001	
PREVENT ²	Dalteparin 5000u v placebo	5.0 v 2.8	0.49 v 0.16 p=0.15
	-	RRR 49%	

¹Samama MM *et al. N Engl J Med* 1999;341:793–800 ²Leizorovicz A *et al. J Circulation* 2004;110:874–9

LMWH prophylaxis in ICU

- Higher baseline VTE
 - 28% without LMWH ¹
 - risk varies: reasons for admission to ICU, recent surgery, chronic disease
- Higher risk of bleeding
 - up to 80% of ICU patients have 1 or more episodes of bleeding though 95% is minor²
 - risk of major bleeding 2.7%, in the untreated arm of prophylaxis in ICU³
 - ³ ACCP Prevention of VTE in non-surgical patients 2012 ² Arnold et al 2007 Clip Invest Med
 - ² Arnold etal 2007 Clin Invest Med
 - ¹ Fraisse etal 2000 Am J Respir Crit Care Med

LMWH prophylaxis in ICU

Trial	TP	VTE (%)	Major Bleeding (%)
PROTECT ¹	Dalteparin	Overall ~10%	5.5 v 5.6
3764 patients	5000u od		
	V	Proximal DVT	
Exclusions incl	heparin 5000iu	5.1 v 5.8	
orthopaedic	bd	PE 1.3 v 2.3	
surgery		p=0.01	
Incl USS		Catheter related	
screening		thrombosis	
		2.3 v 2.1	
F/u until			
hospital or 28		85% VTE	
days		occurred on ICU	

Weight based dosing for VTE prevention

- LMWH SPCs fixed dose
- Inverse correlation between antiXa levels and body weight after a prophylactic dose
- Bariatric surgery
 - antiXa more likely in range and lower incidence of VTE with adjustments for body weight

Nutescu,E.A. et al. **Annals of Pharmacotherapy**, 2009 *Patel, J.P. et al* **British Journal of Haematology**, 2011

Extended thromboprophylaxis for medical inpatients

- EXCLAIM enoxaparin 40mg 28days
- ADOPT apixaban 2.5mg bd 30days
- MAGELLAN
- APEX betrixaban 80mg od 35-42days

rivaroxaban 10mg od 30days

- MARINER rivaroxaban
- Reduction in symptomatic VTE or VTE death
 0.8% vs 1.2% p=0.002
- Increased ISTH major or fatal bleeding
 0.6% v 0.3 % p<0.001
 Bajaj et

Bajaj et al Plos Med 2019

MARINER - rivaroxaban

- RCT, 12024 patients
- 10mg rivaroxaban for 45 days v placebo at hospital discharge
- Eligibility:
 - Medical inpatients, > 40 years, hospitalised 3-10 days with significant medical illness
 - Additional VTE risk factors as indicated by modified Improve score of ≥4 or Improve score ≥2 + ddimer >2xULN

	Symptomatic VTE or death from VTE	Major bleeding	Clinically relevant non major bleeding
Placebo	1.10%	0.15%	0.85%
Rivaroxaban	0.83% RR 0.76 P=0.14	0.28% RR 1.88	1.42% RR 1.66

Mechanical thromboprohylaxis

- GCS and elective surgical patients¹
 - RCT 1905 patients
 - LMWH alone non-inferior to LMWH +GCS
- IPC and ICU²
 - RCT 2003 patients
 - Adjunctive IPC did not significantly reduce DVT/VTE v LMWH alone
- IPC and stroke³
 - RCT 2876 patients
 - Significantly reduced DVT (12.1% to 8.5%)

¹ GAPS: Shalhoub et al BMJ 2020; 369;m1309

² Arabi et al NEJM 2019 380:1305

³ CLOTS3: Dennis etal Lancet 2013 382:1020

VTE rate in COVID

Cohort studies in COVID-19 - ICU alone

Study	Key points	Thrombosis rate (VTE+arterial)
Klok et al Netherlands April 2020 Throm Res	 184 patients 100% received TP: at least standard dose, dose increased over time Median f/u 14 days 35% still hospitalised 	31% @ 7 days 41% @14 days 35% PE 2% other VTE 4% arterial
Helms et al France April 2020 Intensive Care Medicine	 150 patients 100% received TP: 70% prophylactic, 30% therapeutic Median f/u not stated 67% still intubated 	42.6% PE 16.7% DVT 2% 2.7% arterial 18.7% RRT filter clotting 2.7% major bleed Comparison to historical non-covid ARDS: mare DEc 11.7 + 2.1%

Cohort studies in COVID-19: ICU and non-ICU

Study	Key points	Thrombosis rate
Middledorp et al Netherlands May 2020 JTH Standard dose TP doubled for ICU during study, not associated with reduced risk of VTE	ICU/non-ICU 75/123 USS screening in 25% 95% received TP Median f/u 7 days (ICU 15; ward 4 days) 8% still hospitalised	All VTE 20% Symptomatic VTE 13% PE 6.6% DVT 13% ICU v ward HR 3.9 VTE was associated with death HR 2.3 Bleeding data not described
Lodigiani et al Italy Thromb Res May 2020	ICU/non-ICU 61/327 TP:100% ICU, 75% ward (standard, intermediate, therapeutic) Median f/u 10 days 7% patients still hospitalised	In closed cases, ICU: VTE 8.3% Ward: VTE 3.8% Half of VTE diagnosed within 24 hours of admission

If we increase intensity/duration/lower threshold for thromboprophylaxis, will we:

- reduce risk of VTE?
- reduce risk of death?
- and what is risk/benefit with likely increased bleeding risk?

Prophylactic LMWH associated with reduced mortality

- Retrospective observational analysis
- 449 patients
- 22% of patients received thromboprophylaxis
 - (95% 40-60mg enoxaparin; 5% therapeutic)
- 28 day mortality 30%
 - Overall no difference in mortality between patients given heparin and not
- In patients with ddimers >6x ULN or SIC score ≥4, heparin was associated with reduced mortality

- mortality 64.2% to 40% (40% RRR)

Tang et al JTH 2020

Therapeutic anticoagulation associated with reduced mortality?



2773 patients, 28% received systemic AC Unknown indication for AC Median duration of AC 3 days (IQR 2-7) MB 3% v 1.9% (7.5% in intubated patients)

Paranjpe et al May 2020 JACC

Bleeding risk

 Anecdotal – patients with Covid-19 aren't bleeding

Retrospective ICU audit (unpublished)
 – 12% bleeding, 7% major bleeding

 In UK we are set up to capture VTE risk, but not bleeding rates

Interim guidelines?

Possible risk stratification

Risk stratification (1)

Data from Wuhan, mortality and ddimers

- Retrospective observational studies
- Tang et al¹
 - 183 patients, overall mortality 11.5%
 - On admission, non-survivors had significantly higher ddimers compared to survivors
 - During admission, 71.4% of non-survivors v 0.6% of survivors met the criteria for DIC during stay
- Zhou et al²
 - 191 adults, overall mortality 28%
 - Increased risk of death associated with:
 - admission ddimer >1000µg/ml, OR 18
 - higher SOFA score, OR 5.65

¹ Tang et al JTH Feb 2020 18(4):844-847 ² Zhou et al Lancet Mar 2020

Risk stratification (2) European data – thrombosis and mortality

- Higher rates of thrombosis associated with ICU admission
- Higher rates of mortality associated with ICU admission, particularly ventilation

Risk stratification (3)

- Traditional VTE risk factors
 - Previous VTE but not on long-term anticoagulation
 - Active cancer

- Community
 - Hospital assessed ambulatory patients
 - Community

Interim COVID-19 thromboprophylaxis guidelines

- VTE risk assess everyone on admission
- Dose-adjusted standard LMWH whilst an inpatient

- Remaining questions
 - Increase dose LMWH?
 - Extended thromboprophylaxis?
 - Hospital-assessed ambulatory patients?
 - High risk patients in the community?

Obligations

RCTs

 Audit – thrombosis and bleeding risk, ideally prospectively

Regularly review 'interim' guidance